

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/090,463	03/04/2002	Reiner Viebahn	LIP 005	3303	
7:	590 01/09/2004	EXAMINER			
HAYES, SOLOWAY, HENNESSEY & HAGE, P.C. 175 CANAL STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03101			RIVELL, JOHN A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3753		
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004	$\cdot$ $\bigcirc$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					W			
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons		10/090	0,463	VIEBAHN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			n r	Art Unit				
		John		3753				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this common or Reply	ınication appears on	th coversheet wi	th the correspond ince addres	:s			
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU nsions of time may be available under the provisic SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In numunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply aroly will, by statute, cause the safter the mailing date of thi	o event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirt id will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>3/4/02 (App.</u>	priority),9/6/02 (ID	<u>)S)</u> .				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 22</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>11-21</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election	n requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any ob-							
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the state of the s	_						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			0.440( ) ( ))				
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a cla  All b) Some c) None of  Certified copies of the priori  Copies of the certified copies application from the International See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included to the translation of the foreign of the certified copies application from the Internation of the foreign of the translation of the foreign of the certification of the foreign of the foreign of the certification of the foreign of the certification of the foreign of the foreign of the certification	ty documents have I by documents have I by documents have I be sof the priority docutional Bureau (PCT I be softed in the first sented anguage provisional for domestic priority anguage provisional for domestic priority.	peen received. Deen received in A Deen received in	received in this National Star received. § 119(e) (to a provisional appation or in an Application Dat een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a sp	olication) a Sheet. pecific			
Attachmen								
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152				
C Dalant and 3	Frademark Office							

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 1-22 are pending.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are under 35 U.S.C. §102 (b) as being anticipated by Bibby (WIPO WO 00/32431 cited by applicant).

The document to Bibby discloses, in figures 1 and 2, "a fuel tank (11) for a motor vehicle, comprising means (inherent in the system) for delivering fuel from the fuel tank (11) to an engine, means for the introduction of air (at neck 13) into and the venting (16, 17, 18) of air from the tank, a filler pipe (13, 14, 15) having a closable intake end (12) and an outlet end (15) with a mouth (21) opening into the interior of the tank, at least one first valve (23) disposed in the region of the outlet end (15) of the filler pipe and adapted to sealingly close at least in relation to a fuel blowback, and at least one second valve (29) which is disposed in the filler pipe at least in the region of the outlet end (15) thereof and adapted for bridging over the first valve" as recited in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, in Bibby, "the second valve (29) is a valve which is operable to open and close in the same direction with the first valve (23) and which is adapted upon actuation to respond to a lower actuating force than the first valve" as recited, in that the preload of spring 32 on valve 29 is "considerable lower" than that of the spring 26 of valve 23.

on control ramber. 10,000,40

Art Unit: 3753

Regarding claim 3, in Bibby, "the first valve (23) has a valve body and wherein the second valve (29) is disposed in the valve body of the first valve" as recited.

Regarding claim 4, in Bibby, "the first valve (23) is in the form of a spring-loaded (26) non-return valve" as recited.

Regarding claim 22, in Bibby, "the outlet end of the filler pipe opens into the fuel tank above the planned maximum level of fuel therein" as recited, by reason of the location of the valve as shown in figure 1 and 2.

Claim 1 is further, and claims 7-10 and 22 are under 35 U.S.C. §102 (b) as being anticipated by Devall et al.

The patent to Devall et al. discloses "a fuel tank (18) for a motor vehicle, comprising means (inherent) for delivering fuel from the fuel tank to an engine, means for the introduction of air into (neck 12, 14) and the venting (20) of air from the tank, a filler pipe (14) having a closable intake end (48) and an outlet end (16) with a mouth (at 166) opening into the interior of the tank, at least one first valve (valve head seal 236 and seat 114) disposed in the region of the outlet end of the filler pipe and adapted to sealingly close at least in relation to a fuel blowback, and at least one second valve (valve head 258 biased by spring 260 against seat 238; figure 10) which is disposed in the filler pipe at least in the region of the outlet end thereof and adapted for bridging over the first valve" as recited.

Regarding claim 7, in Devall et al. "the second valve (at head 258) is in the form of a safety valve which is openable and closable in the opposite direction to the first valve" as recited.

Art Unit: 3753

Regarding claim 8, in Devall et al., "the second valve (at head 258) is in the form of a spring-loaded valve" at spring 260 as recited.

Regarding claim 9, in Devall et al., "first valve has a valve body (read at seal 236), and the second valve has a valve body (read at seat 238) as a sealing seat for the valve body of the first valve" as recited.

Regarding claim10, in Devall et al., "first and second valves include a common valve housing (90) and the first and second valves include a respective valve body, the first and second valve bodies being disposed in the common valve housing" as recited.

Regarding claim 22, in Devall et al., "the outlet end (at 16) of the filler pipe opens into the fuel tank above the planned maximum level of fuel therein" as recited, by reason of the location as shown in figure 1.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bibby or Devall et al.

Art Unit: 3753

The document to Bibby or the patent to Devall et al. discloses all the claimed features, as described above, with the exception of having "second valve designed to be openable at a differential pressure with a fall to the interior of the tank of between about 5 and 20 mbars".

However, to operate either of the "second" valve of Bibby or Devall et al. at a value of "between about 5 and 20 mbars" of pressure is considered to be an obvious design expedient over these features as disclosed in Bibby or Devall et al. which provide no new and/or unexpected results nor solves any stated problem. That is, the claimed feature relates to a desired operating condition, which operating condition is a design expedient solving no state3d problem nor providing any new and/or unexpected results.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bibby in view of Horner, Jr.

The document to Bibby discloses all the claimed features, as noted above, with the exception of having "the second valve (being) a resilient plate member which in the non-actuated condition of the second valve closes the passage through the valve body of the first valve".

The features called for by the claim relate to a specific type of valve element, attached to the "first valve", which is not exclusive to fuel tank environs, but can be found on any valve device including plural parallel plumbed check valve elements.

The patent to Horner, Jr. discloses that it is known in the art to employ, as a "second valve" in a parallel plumbing arrangement, a "resilient plate" type check valve 32, attached to a "first valve" element 36, each of which provide a check valve function of a fluid flowing in the same direction, for the purpose of permitting low pressure

Art Unit: 3753

Page 6

differential flows and high pressure differential flows, as in Bibby, by employing diverse types of check valves plumbed in parallel.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Bibby a flexible "resilient plate" type of check valve in place of the reciprocating check valve 29 of Bibby for the purpose of permitting low pressure differential flows and high pressure differential flows, as in Bibby, as recognized by Horner, Jr.

Claims 11-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Rivell whose telephone number is (703) 308-2599. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. from 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (703) 308-1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

John Rivell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753